SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION

- 1. There is an objection to Figures 9 and 10 regarding numbering of elements in the Figures.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 22-23, 29, 30-34, 37, 39, 41-43, 45-47 and 50-54 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,267,248 (Johnson).
- 3. Claims 6, 9-11, 24-28, 35-36, 38 and 40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).
- Claims 12-13 and 48 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with US Patent No. 6,250,632 (Albrecht).
- Claims 14-21 and 26-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with Purton et al. (International Patent Application Publication WO 00/51076).
- 6. Claims 2, 44 and 49 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) and further in view of US Patent No. 5,240,140 (Huen).

ARGUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE ISSUES IN THE OFFICE ACTION

1. Objections to the number content of Figures 9 and 10 have been raised, with specific elements of the Figures described in the specification asserted to be absent from the Figures.

The description of the figures in the specification is and was as:

"Figure 9 shows a side cutaway view of one embodiment of a shuffling apparatus with cardreading camera available.

Figure 10 shows a top cutaway view of one embodiment of a shuffling apparatus with card-reading camera available."

First, these figures have not been objected to separately, but only because of the failure to appreciate the relationship between the two figures. First, the statements in the specification do not state or require that the top view and the side view be of the same embodiment. Each figure is of "one embodiment," which does not have to be the same one embodiment. As each figure is in itself acceptable, the objection is in error and must be withdrawn.

Furthermore as the descriptions are as "cutaways" and not as sections, only an opened view from a perspective of each of the "one embodiments" is being shown. In a cutaway, internal portions may be (and here are) masked by the presence of other features in the device. It is therefore not always possible to show every element of one perspective in every other view of another perspective in a cutaway.

The Figures are individually and collectively correct for each of these reasons.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 22-23, 29, 30-34, 37, 39, 41-43, 45-47 and 50-54 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,267,248 (Johnson).

As is always the situation where a single reference has been cited under 35 USC 102(b) against claims of an application, it is essential to compare the actual limitations of the claims and the actual teachings of the references, beginning with the independent claims that have been rejected under this statutory provision.

CLAIM 1	JOHNSON DISCLOSURE	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is

of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a
of said device:		nominative top and bottom.
a single card receiving area for	"holding means 12"	
receiving an initial set of playing	8	
cards;	*	
a randomizing system for	·	This function can be provided by
randomizing the order of an initial		Johnson.
set of playing cards;		
a collection surface in a card	Johnson provides multiple	
collection area for receiving	collection surfaces (the	
randomized playing cards one at a	compartments 24) for receiving	
time into the card collection area,	cards one-at-time.	
the collection surface receiving		· ·
cards so that all cards are received		
below the top surface of the		
device;		Johnson discloses card reading
an image capture device that reads		before deposit into the carousel on
the rank and suit of each card		column 5, lines 7-11.
before being received on the card	·	Column 5, intes 7-11.
collection surface;		There is no elevator raising the
an elevator for raising the		collection surface so that
collection surface so that at least	·	randomized cards are elevated
some randomized cards are	·	at least to the top surface.
elevated at least to the top surface of the device; and		Cards in Johnson always
of the device, and		remain below the top surface,
		with at least shroud 25 existing
		between cards and the top of the
·		device.
a moveable cover over the		As there is no elevator, there
elevator.		can be no moveable cover over
		the elevator.

As should be readily seen, Johnson does not anticipate the present limitation of claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom. This rejection, with respect to claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom is clearly in error. There are at least three distinct limitations in the claims that are not taught by Johnson:

- 1) An elevator;
- 2) Raising cards above the top surface by the elevator; and
- 3) A cover over the elevator.

Applicants are so certain of the lack of anticipation of the invention disclosed in claim 1, a new claim 55 has been provided herein that eliminates the second distinguishing limitation, as the sufficiency of the differences provided by limitations 1) and 3) is sufficient to establish patentability over the art.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 23. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 23	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is
of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a
of said device;		nominative top and bottom.
a receiving area for an initial set	"holding means 12"	
of playing cards		
a randomizing system for		This function can be provided by
randomizing initial set of playing		Johnson.
cards;		
a collection surface in a	Johnson provides multiple	
card collection area for receiving	collection surfaces (the	
randomized playing cards;	compartments 24) for receiving	
	cards one-at-time.	
an elevator for raising the		There is no elevator raising the collection surface so that
collection surface within the card	*	randomized cards are elevated
collection area;		at least to the top surface.
		Cards in Johnson always
		remain below the top surface,
		with at least shroud 25 existing
·	·	between cards and the top of the
		device.
at least one card supporting		There is no card supporting
element within the card collection		element in any card collecting
area that will support a		area of Johnson that supports a
predetermined number of cards	·	predetermined number of cards.
within the card collection area;		This is the grasping feature or
and		gripping arm feature discussed
uiv		above.
an image capture system that can		Johnson discloses card reading
read at least the rank of each at		before deposit into the carousel on

least one card before it is inserted	column 5, lines 7-11.
into a set of cards at a position	
below the predetermined number	
of cards.	

As should be readily seen, Johnson does not anticipate the present limitation of claim 23 and all claims dependent therefrom. This rejection, with respect to claim 23 and all claims dependent therefrom is clearly in error. There are at least two distinct limitations in the claims that are not taught by Johnson:

- 4) An elevator; and
- 5) The card supporting element within the card collection area that will support a predetermined of cards.

This rejection is clearly in error and must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 30. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 30	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is
of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a
of said device,		nominative top and bottom.
a single card receiving area for	"holding means 12"	
receiving an initial set of playing		
cards;		
a randomizing system for		This function can be provided by
randomizing the order of an initial		Johnson.
set of playing cards;		
a collection surface in a card	Johnson provides multiple	
collection area for receiving	collection surfaces (the	
randomized playing cards one at a	compartments 24) for receiving	
time into the card collection area,	cards one-at-time.	
the collection surface receiving		
cards so that all cards are received		
below the top surface of the		,
device;		
an image capture device that reads	Johnson discloses card reading	
the rank and suit of each card after	before deposit into the carousel on	
it has begun leaving the single	column 5, lines 7-11.	<u> </u>

card receiving area and before being received on the card collection surface;	
an elevator for raising the collection surface so that at least some randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface of the device; and	There is no elevator raising the collection surface so that randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface. Cards in Johnson always remain below the top surface, with at least shroud 25 existing between cards and the top of the device.
a moveable cover over the elevator.	As there is no elevator, there can be no moveable cover over the elevator.

It should be noted that there is absolutely no way that the disclosed receiving carousel of Johnson can be considered top meet the limitation of an elevator. The function and meaning of elevators and carousels within the playing card shuffling art arc different. Carousels rotate individual compartments, while the elevator of the present technology raises and lowers entire groups of playing cards, without separate compartmentalization.

As is shown by the above comparison, there is no basis for maintaining the rejection of claim 30 under 35 USC 102(a) over Johnson.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 31. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 31	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffling		This function of a device is
device comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a microprocessor with memory for controlling the operation of the device;		Johnson discloses a microprocessor
an in-feed compartment for receiving cards to be randomized;	"holding means 12"	

P.21

		m: C1
a card moving mechanism for ·		This function can be provided by
moving cards individually from		Johnson., although cards are
the in-feed compartment into a		moved into a multiplicity of
single card mixing compartment		compartments, there is not "a
that receives all cards during a	!	single mixing compartment."
randomization process;		
an image capture system that can	Johnson discloses card reading	
identify at least the rank of each	before deposit into the carousel on	
card as it is moved towards, into	column 5, lines 7-11.	
or through the card mixing		
compartment, but before removal		
from the device;		
a card mixing compartment that		As Johnson can separate cards by
identifies a position for each card		suit and rank, it can identify the
in each set of cards formed in the		location of individual cards in
card mixing compartment,		separate compartments, but not in
,		a card mixing compartment.
a memory that records at		Johnson may temporarily have a
least the rank of each card in each		memory of where at least some
set of cards formed in the card		individual cards are located.
mixing compartment;		
wherein the card mixing		Johnson shows a carousel,
compartment comprises a		which is not a substantially
plurality of substantially vertical		vertical support, but has
supports, an opening for the		multiple radial compartments.
passage of cards from the in-feed		Johnson does not teach gripping
compartment, a movcable lower		elements or gripping arms
support surface; at least one		capable of suspending cards
stationary gripping element, a	•	above an opening.
gripping arm, a lower edge		
proximate the opening, the		
gripping arm capable of		
suspending cards above the		,
opening; and		
an elevator for raising and		There is no elevator in Johnson.
lowering the moveable support		
surface.		
	1	

This rejection is completely in error as shown by the word-by-word, clause by clause comparison of the limitations of the claims. The rejection must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 37. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 37	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A method of randomizing a group of cards, comprising the steps of: placing a group of cards to be randomized into a card in-feed tray;	Disclosed by Johnson.	
removing cards individually from the card in-feed tray and delivering the cards into	Cards are moved individually.	
a card collection area, the card collection area having a moveable lower surface, and a stationary opening for receiving cards from the in-feed tray;	·	Johnson has multiple compartments, not a card collection area with a stationary opening. The openings for all carousel compartments move with the rotation.
elevating the moveable lower surface to a randomly determined height;		Johnson rotates compartments to angular positions, the height being insignificant.
grasping at least one edge of a group of cards in the card collection area at a point just above the stationary opening;	Johnson never grips edges of cards. Even the rollers grip faces of cards.	
lowering the moveable lower surface to create an opening in a stack of cards formed on the lower surface, the opening located just beneath a lowermost point where the cards are grasped;	Johnson never lowers the moveable surfaces to create an opening, and cannot create an opening between cards.	·
inserting a card removed from the in-feed tray into the opening;	As Johnson does not have this "opening" (the stationary opening), this step cannot be performed.	
after randomizing all cards, elevating a collection of randomized cards; and reading at least the rank of each	There is no elevation of a collection of randomized cards, but rotation of those cards. Although Johnson reads cards,	

there is no opening for them to be passed through as recited in the claims.	

As can be seen, Johnson fails to show numerous limitations in the claims that are recited in the claim 37. The rejection is in error and must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 43. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 43	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffler comprising:	Johnson has a card shuffler.	·
a housing capable of being mounted into a gaming table surface;	There are no attributes enabling mounting into a table. The direction of card insertion and card removal is inherently negative to such mounting.	
a card receiver for accepting a group of cards to be shuffled;	"holding means 12"	
a randomizing system for randomizing the order of an initial set of playing cards wherein an image capture device identifies at least the rank of each eard in the initial set of playing cards before each eard is positioned on a collection surface for receiving randomized cards;	Johnson may randomize cards, and Johnson discloses card reading before deposit into the carousel on column 5, lines 7-11.	Johnson has multiple carousel
the a single collection surface for receiving all randomized cards;		Johnson has multiple carousel compartments, not a single collection surface for all cards.
an elevator for raising the collection surface to an elevation proximate the gaming table surface; and		There is no Johnson elevator.
a microprocessor for controlling the operation of the card shuffler.		Johnson does have a processor for control of the device.

Johnson clearly fails to show deposition of all playing cards onto a single collection surface.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 45. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 45	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffler, comprising:		Johnson discloses a card shuffler.
a microprocessor; a card randomization mechanism;		Johnson has a microprocessor and a card randomization process.
a controller for controlling the card randomization mechanism by means of a user-manipulated remote control device; and		Johnson does not disclose a remote control device on a playing card shuffler.
a card moving sequence programmed in memory that enables the automatic card shuffler to move a set of cards from a card receiving position to a card collection area in the shuffler in a non-shuffling event,		Johnson teaches multiple compartments in a carousel, not a card collection area.
and to read the rank and suit of each card between the card receiving position and the card collection area in the non-shuffling event.		Johnson does disclose card reading before movement into the carousel.

This rejection is in error. Johnson clearly does not disclose a remote control device on a playing card shuffler.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 46. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 46	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set of playing cards comprising:	Generally disclosed by Johnson.	
a top surface and a bottom surface of said device;	Inherent in any apparatus.	
a single card receiving area for receiving an initial set of playing	"holding means 12"	

cards;		
a randomizing system for randomizing the order of an initial set of playing cards;	Johnson shuffles cards.	·
a single collection surface in a card collection area for receiving randomized playing cards one at a time into the single card collection area to form a single randomized set of playing cards, the single collection surface receiving cards so that all playing cards from the initial set of playing cards are received below the top surface of the device		Johnson does not have a single collection surface for collecting cards but has a carousel with multiple compartments that receive cards.
an image capture device that reads the rank and suit of each card after it has begun leaving the single card receiving area and before being received on the single card collection surface; and		Johnson has a playing card reading sensor.
access into an open area comprising 2, 3 or 4 vertical supports for removal of the single randomized set of playing cards as a complete set.	·	The delivery tray eventually receives all randomized playing cards.

Johnson does not disclose the use of a single surface for receiving randomized cards. Johnson cannot perform this step or provide that structure. Johnson does not show the 2, 3 or 4 vertical supports, but only shows a ledge with a single angled support for catching the cards dropped into the delivery tray. The vertical supports, as opposed to the angled support of Johnson perform different functions, Johnson actually catches cards as they slide into the delivery tray. The 2, 3 or 4 vertical supports prevent the cards from sliding in various directions as the cards are moved by the single card collection surface. The present claimed technology would avoid the use of a sloped surface as shown by Johnson as that would allow too much slippage of the cards. Johnson can tolerate such slippage because the angled elevated portion of the delivery tray does not move and is a passive stop for cards dropped into the delivery tray. This claim is not anticipated by Johnson.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 54. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 54	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for shuffling cards, comprising:	See above.	·
a card receiving area for receiving an initial set of unshuffled cards;	See above.	
a card randomizing system for randomizing an order of the cards;	See above	
a first sensor for sensing a position of cards between the card receiving area and the card randomizing system;	See above.	
a second sensor for sensing rank and/or suit or each card; and a microprocessor that activates the second sensor upon receiving a card present signal from the first sensor.	Johnson discloses only one sensor at position 15. There is no activation function in the sensor as recited in this claim. There is no second sensor shown.	

Each of these independent claims has been shown to be novel over the disclosure of Johnson. All dependent claims are also inherently and legally novel over Johnson. All claims in this rejection have been shown to be novel and the rejection must be withdrawn.

3. Claims 6, 9-11, 24-28, 35-36, 38 and 40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7. The additional Johnson II reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. Johnson II also fails to show card supporting elements in the card collection area, there

P.27

is no elevator cover, no moving elevator, and the like. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Although Johnson does show an elevator and grippers, the elevators do not raise cards up to or above the top of the device as recited in claim 1. Rather, cards are removed from the bottom of the stack on the elevator and transmitted to the delivery device 43. Johnson et al. ('085) also shows a sensor with a trigger function, but again does not meet the structural performance of elevating cards to or above the surface (the top) for removal by a dealer.

The limitation in claim 1 is "...an elevator for raising the collection surface so that at least some randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface of the device; and...," and this limitation is not shown by Johnson II. The rejection is in error.

4. Claims 12-13 and 48 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with US Patent No. 6,250,632 (Albrecht).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7 or paragraph 8. The additional Albrecht reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and unobviousness under 35 USC 103(a) in paragraph 8 and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Albrecht does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Claims 12-13, for example, address fine control of the collection surface on the elevator position. The elevator shaft of Johnson II is stationary and the grippers move up and down adjacent the shaft.

5. Claims 14-21 and 26-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with Purton et al. (International Patent Application Publication WO 00/51076).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7 and paragraph 8. The additional Purton reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under

35 USC 102(b) and unobviousness under 35 USC 103(a) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited. Again, the elevator shaft of Johnson II is stationary and the grippers move up and down adjacent the shaft. Johnson does not raise cards to the top of the device.

6. Claims 2, 44 and 49 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7. The additional Johnson II reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Johnson II, as repeatedly noted above, does not teach elevating a complete set of cards as described in claims 2 and 44. Cards are moved from the bottom of the stack, not raised to the top surface for manual removal. There is no moveable cover. Cards are delivered from the bottom of the stack to a delivery shoe structure. Johnson II does not overcome the deficiencies of Johnson.

REMARKS

The above amendments and arguments clearly establish that the rejections are in error and must be withdrawn.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact Applicant's attorney of record, Mark A. Litman at (952)832-9090.

Respectfully submitted,

ATTILA GRAUZER et al. By Their Representatives,

MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A. YORK BUSINESS CENTER, SUITE 205

3209 West 76th Street Edina, MN 55435

(952) 832.909<u>0</u>

Date 26 November 2007 By

Mark A. Litman Reg. No. 26,390

The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described herein, are being sent by first class mail with First Class postage prepaid to the US Postal Service in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 287November 2007.

Mark A. Litman

Name

Sintarum